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The context

• Inequities Colombia regions (GINI)
• 72% of professionals are located in the five major cities
• 22% of these engineers choose to remain in the main urban centers
The context

– 46% of Colombians live in poverty. Compared to 2005 this decrease 4.3%, but extreme poverty increased 2.1% (Vanguardia 4 de Julio 2010)

– The gap between urban and rural areas keeps growing: 65 out of every 100 Colombians in rural areas are poor, whereas this index in urban areas is of 39 out of every 100 (Juan Camilo Restrepo, ex Minister of Agriculture)
The context

- First trimester 2010. Even though there was an economic growth of 4.4 and a productivity growth of 7.9, the unemployment increased as well
- Last year, there was an unemployment increase of 0.4, reaching 12.1. Presently, there are approximately 2,608,000 unemployed people. (El Tiempo, July 1st, 2010)
The context

• On 2001 Colombia, Brazil and Peru were the countries with more inequality in Latin America (Cepal)

• Colombia entered the list of most unequal countries (Revista Semana, July 4th, 2010)
The context: Some examples
Some examples
Some examples
Ingenieros sin Fronteras Colombia

• Born 2007

Sinergy between UniMinuto - UniAndes

– Guayabal de Síquima, 2007-2009
– Nankai, 2008
– Mochuelo-Ciudad Bolivar, 2008-2011
– Icononzo-Tolima, 2009
– Provincia del Guavio (Guasca, Gachetá y Calera)—from 2010
ISFCOL Indicators

– International
  • Mondialogo UNESCO
  • OEA TIC Américas
  • Jóvenes emprendedores ONU

- Active Learning Engineering
  • 5 International Seminars
  • 5 Inter-University courses
  • 800 engineering students

– Research
  • 20 published papers

– Network
  • Politecnico di Milano
  • Universidad Nacional
Methodologies ISFCOL

• oCDIO:

• PAR: *Participatory Action Research*

• GAMIFICATION:
Social Innovation Focus

- Participation
- Viability
- Impact
- Sostenability
Criteria ISFCOL

- Viable
- Environment responsibility
- Social Inclusive
- Engineering focus
- Innovation
- Technically viable
- Impact
Achieves

- Mondialogo Engineering Award. UNESCO y Damlier. 2009.
- YABT, OEA y PEPSICO
- Financiación de Fullbrigth Colombia. 2011.
- Re-financiación Eco-Challenge. TIC Américas 2012. YABT, OEA y PEPSICO
- Invitados al Politecnico di Milano 2014
- Invitados a Colorado School of Mines y al Politécnico di Milano 2011
- Participación en Asamblea Anual de Jóvenes en Naciones Unidas 2011.
- UNESCO Chair in Technologies for Development 2011 y 2014
- Primer proyecto de la Universidad de los Andes aprobado por regalías
Partners

- Politécnico de Milán
- ISF Milano
- Escuela de Minas de Colorado.
- ICA2
- Universidad de AALBORG en Dinamarca
- Inalambria Internacional
- Gobernación de Cundinamarca
- Universidad Nacional de Colombia
- Corporación Minuto de Dios
Interdisciplinary work

Economy
Industrial Engineering
Agroecological Engineering
Chemical E
Environment E
Electric E
Mechanical E
Social work
Engineers now of ISFCOLO

- Responsabilidad Social del Banco de Bogotá.
- ENDEAVOR.
- Banco Mundial.
- Ingeniería para el desarrollo, Centro Investigación UK.
- RECOJO.
- Gestión de regalías al nivel nacional.
- Parque Científico de Innovación Social
- Ministerio TICs
Resources

- Universities
- UNESCO
- Fullbright
- Daimler Mercedes Benz
- Regalías
- Icetex
- Pepsi Co.
- Inalambria
Organizations with social scope (oss)

• an organization with social order is also related to what is called, social enterprise, which generally is characterized by not aim to maximize profits for their investors but face problems of social nature (Yunus, 2010).

• an organization with social order is characterized by a closed network of relationships with their own identity (Espejo & Reyes, 2011)

• the birth of these organizations is giving back to the emergence of an initiative to solve a problem that is affecting the quality of life of a particular community (Aristizabal, 2012).
How OSC survives?

- The birth of these organizations is giving back to the emergence of an initiative to solve a problem that is affecting the quality of life of a particular community (Aristizabal, 2012).

- Once organization’s legitimacy is shared in its environment, it receives the critical resources needed for its correct functioning, according to Greenwood et al (2008), the organizations need to have legitimacy in the field which they operate in order to survive.

- A approach proposes that the organization is dependent on its environment and some organizational characteristics favor different organizational shapes which can favor or not the survival in a given environment (Burns & Stalker, 1961; Lawrence & Lorsch, 1974),
ISFCOL Case study. First Period 2007-2010
ISFCOL Case study. Second Period 2010-2012
Discussion and Conclusion

• Since ISF Colombia has created to generate learning processes of engineering in real contexts.
• Initially it was not clear if the complexity was responding to troubleshoot was vulnerable communities or generates processes of active learning engineering.
• As identified throughout the article, has been presenting a construction of group identity. Constructed mechanisms have sought to respond to learning and generate engineering solutions. However the rapid growth and the formation of alliances have created some confusion in the identity of the organization.
• Notwithstanding the foregoing has been successfully built, above all, a scenario of collaboration to address the complexity you want to assume.
Discussion and Conclusion

• For ISFC given the nature of their social projects and the voluntary participation of many of its members, makes the survival not obey logic of cost-benefit in economic terms but survival that allows the institutions that welcomes, in this case universities that provide the conditions for its operation and Uniminuto /Uniandes

• Variety attenuators have allowed ISFC prioritize and select projects and stakeholders in each of the moments of its life cycle, making more effective control over their results and more targeted use of their resources in selected projects.

• This has the effect of recognition of two aspects: the organization has clear action your interests and produces a clear result with their performances.
Discussion and Conclusion

• As result of that management, the organizations in the relevant environment of ISFC recognize two aspects: the organization has well defined interests and produce concrete results with its projects.
• As was mentioned in the previous sections, once established the complexity management mechanisms the probability of survival of ISFCOL increased.
• That can be attributed to two main elements: pertinence of its projects, and an appropriated reading of its own relevant environment. About the pertinence, it not only refers to the design and development of relevant and makeable solutions, it refers to the participatory nature of the methodology of the projects. People that participate in the projects is engaged because they feel that can contribute to real solutions, for real persons, on the other hand persons which are the beneficiaries of the projects fell that they are part of the solution.
• https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=GE7GaXaRns
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